Unraveling mechanisms of salivary sck cen gland toxicity after PSMA-TRT



Co-promotor: Dr. Koen Vermeulen¹, Dr. An Aerts¹ Promotor: Prof. Sarah Baatout^{1,2} Nathalie Heynickx^{1,2} ¹Radiobiology Unit; Interdisciplinary Biosciences; Institute for Environment, Health and Safety; Belgian Nuclear Research Centre (SCK•CEN), Mol, Belgium ²Department of Molecular Biotechnology; Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium nathalie.heynickx@sckcen.be

Background



Goal



- Gain knowledge on how salivary gland toxicity after PSMA-TRT arises
- Test different compounds for **preventive** value of salivary gland toxicity

Innovation?

- PSMA-TRT is used in clinic, but no extensive preclinical investigation of salivary gland uptake
- Little understanding of mechanisms underlying salivary gland toxicity

 \rightarrow Help to find preventive strategies

Results

- Decreased survival with increasing doses of ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617
- PSMA-positive (PC3-PIP & LNCaP): specific uptake
- PSMA-negative cells (PC3-Flu): no specific uptake
- A253 salivary gland cells: minor specific uptake

	CFU	survival	A253	cells	
120					

Д	Average blocking %				
	l ow block	High block			

Challenges

• In vitro representation of human salivary glands





Figure 4: CFU Survival fraction of A253 cells after treatment with different doses of ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617

	10x excess	1000x excess
PC3-PIP	37,9	93,5
PC3-Flu	No block	No block
A253	6,9	18,6
LNCaP	13,8	77,5

of blocking Table Results 1: experiments. Cells were treated with 5nM ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617. Cells were blocked using vehicle (medium with milli-Q), 50 nM 2-PMPA or 5 µM 2-PMPA

Lack of compounds and methods for preventing • salivary gland toxicity



